#### **COURSE OUTLINE**

## (1) GENERAL

SCHOOL	Social Sciences				
ACADEMIC UNIT	Sociology				
LEVEL OF STUDIES	Undergraduate				
COURSE CODE	462				
COURSE TITLE	Sociology of Knowledge				
INDEPENDENT TEACHING ACTIVITIES					
if credits are awarded for separ	ate compone	WEEKLY			
course, e.g. lectures, laboratory ex	xercises, etc.	TEACHING		CREDITS	
are awarded for the whole of the					
teaching hours and th	teaching hours and the total credits				
			3		6
Add rows if necessary. The organisation of teaching and the					
teaching methods used are described in detail at (d).					
COURSE TYPE	Mandatory / General background / Specialised general				
general background,	knowledge, skills development				
special background, specialised					
general knowledge, skills					
development					
PREREQUISITE COURSES:	Basic knowledge of sociological theory and elementary				
	knowledge of qualitative research				
LANGUAGE OF INSTRUCTION	Greek, English				
and EXAMINATIONS:					
IS THE COURSE OFFERED TO	Yes				
ERASMUS STUDENTS					
COURSE WEBSITE (URL)	http://www.soc.aegean.gr/ext-files/pm/pps/2017-462-				
	en.pdf				
	-				

## (2) LEARNING OUTCOMES

### **Learning outcomes**

The course learning outcomes, specific knowledge, skills and competences of an appropriate level, which the students will acquire with the successful completion of the course are described.

### Consult Appendix A

- Description of the level of learning outcomes for each qualifications cycle, according to the Qualifications Framework of the European Higher Education Area
- Descriptors for Levels 6, 7 & 8 of the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning and Appendix B
- Guidelines for writing Learning Outcomes

Students after the end of the semester are expected to be capable to:

1. Understand the main theoretical tools and the analytical logic of sociology as a science of human phenomena.

- 2. Grasp and perpetuate the ideas of investigating specific areas of social reality and connect them with broader issues of sociological theory.
- 3. Connect the theoretical tradition of macro and especially microsociology with the empirical examination of "actual" everyday social reality in its various implications.
- 4. Distinguish between quantitative and qualitative research design.
- 5. Demonstrate a basic ability to understand the nature and content of qualitative research, both in terms of methods and techniques.
- 6. Implement specific qualitative methods and particular technical tools to study specific fields of social reality.
- 7. Develop analytical skills in producing, gathering, composing and interpreting factual and visual data derived from a qualitative methodology in connection with the practical study of everyday life.
- 8. Prepare a preliminary research idea and design a relevant essay on a particular topic of study.
- 9. Synthesize qualitative and quantitative methodological techniques.

#### **General Competences**

Taking into consideration the general competences that the degree-holder must acquire (as these appear in the Diploma Supplement and appear below), at which of the following does the course aim?

Search for, analysis and synthesis of data Project planning and management

and information, with the use of the
necessary technology
Respect for difference and multiculturalism
Respect for the natural environment
Showing social, professional and ethical

Decision-making responsibility and sensitivity to gender issues

Working independently Criticism and self-criticism

Team work Production of free, creative and inductive

Working in an international environment thinking

Working in an interdisciplinary ......
environment Others...
Production of new research ideas ......

- Working independently,
- Team work,
- -Production of free, creative and inductive thinking,
- -Showing social, professional and ethical responsibility and sensitivity to gender issues,
- -Criticism and self-criticism,
- -Production of free, creative and inductive thinking,
- -Working in an international environment,
- -Working in an interdisciplinary environment,
- -Production of new research ideas,
- -Associate principles of dialogue to sociology of Knowledge underlying teacher's pedagogical & didactical practices,
- -Perceive these different dimensions and make connections between them in the everyday educational praxis phenomenon.

## (3) SYLLABUS

The first phase refers to the epistemological turn that occurs with the relativization of the concept of rationality and objectivity of scientific theories, which at the same time implies the inevitable asymmetries and discontinuities that characterize the evolution of science. In these lectures, we will give definitions and examine the relationship between science and epistemology. Furthermore, we will discuss the idea of social construction of social reality

and present the aspects of an interpretive Social Science Foundation. In this context, there is a special reference to texts that support both a 'strong' and a 'weak' program of Sociology of knowledge, as well as a modern version of a new Sociology of knowledge. On a second level, reference is made to the modern science of knowledge (cognitive sciences), mainly to the various cognitive functions, to the extent that these are responsible for rendering the meaning and developing forms of communication. We will also examine the possibility of developing a dialogue between the goals of interpretive social science and the cognitive functions which are analyzed mainly under conditions rendering neurophysiological meaning.

- -Introductory course.
- -Theory of science and Epistemology.
- -The relationship of science and Epistemology.
- -Complementarity and conflict.
- -Ideology and social knowledge. Historicistic turn in the philosophy of science The social construction of reality.
- -Scientific Revolutions and historizistic relativism.
- -Methodological pluralism and methodological monism.
- -Presumption of validity of hypothetical research proposals of social science.
- -The cognitive sciences and the meaning of the Action.
- -The common methodological Logic of Science Genetic Grammar and Chomsky's views.

# (4) TEACHING and LEARNING METHODS - EVALUATION

DELIVERY	Face-to-face, Interactive methods			
Face-to-face, Distance learning,				
etc.				
USE OF INFORMATION AND	Use of ICT in teaching			
COMMUNICATIONS				
TECHNOLOGY				
Use of ICT in teaching, laboratory				
education, communication with				
students				
TEACHING METHODS	Activity	Semester workload		
The manner and methods of	Lectures	39 hours		
teaching are described in detail.	Workhops	61 hours		
Lectures, seminars, laboratory	Study and analysis of	50 hours		
practice, fieldwork, study and	bibliography			
analysis of bibliography, tutorials,				
placements, clinical practice, art				
workshop, interactive teaching,				
educational visits, project, essay				
writing, artistic creativity, etc.				
		450.1		
The student's study hours for each	Course total 150 hours			
learning activity are given as well				
as the hours of non-directed study				
according to the principles of the				
ECTS CTUDENT DEPENDANCE	The	The last was at discount		
STUDENT PERFORMANCE	The course is held with lectures, study and			
EVALUATION  Description of the evaluation	presentation of texts in Greek and English and with selected translations from German language. Courses			
Description of the evaluation procedure	are taught weekly. Students are encouraged to			
procedure	j are taugnt weekly. Stuc	ients are encouraged to		

Language of evaluation, methods of evaluation, summative or conclusive, multiple choice questionnaires, short-answer questions, open-ended questions, problem solving, written work, essay/report, oral examination, public presentation, laboratory work, clinical examination of patient, art interpretation, other

Specifically-defined evaluation criteria are given, and if and where they are accessible to students.

participate actively in the discussions.

Evaluation criteria include the presence and active participation of the students, the presentation of projects, the study and translation of texts and the written examinations at the end of the semester.

Grades depend mainly on the exams at the end of the semester, but also on the students' participation to course discussions. For students wishing to improve their grades further there is the option of writing an essay combined with a short presentation.

Evaluation procedure is stated on the e-platform moodle (https://aegeanmoodle.aegean.gr/).

#### (5) ATTACHED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Additional References:

**Basic Textbooks:** 

Penrose, R. The Emperor's New Mind. Oxford: Oxford University Press (1975).

Place, U.T. Thirty Years On – Is Consciousness Still a Brain Process?" Australasian Journal of Philosophy 66,2:208 –219 (1988).

Putnam, H. Brains and Behavior", in R. Butler (ed.), Analytical Philosophy. Oxford: Basil Blackwell(1963).

Putnam, H. Mind, Language and Reality. Philosophical Papers, τ.2, Cambridge U.P. (1975).

Quine, W.V.O. Word and Object. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (1960).

Rorty, R., "In defence of eliminative materialism" Review of Metaphysics, 24, 112 – 121 (1965).

Rorty,R., "Mind –Body Identity, Privacy and Categories", Review of Metaphysics 29, 1:24 – 54 (1965).

Ryle, G. The Concept of Mind. New York: Barnes and Noble (1949).

Schnell R, Hill P, Esser E. (2015), Methoden der empirischen Sozialforschung, Oldenbourg, έκδ. 9 (Μέθοδοι εμπειρικής κοινωνικής έρευνας, μετάφραση, επιστημονική επιμέλεια, εισαγωγικό κεφάλαιο, Ν. Ναγόπουλος), Propobos, σελ. 529.

Searle, J. R, "Intentionality and its place in natura", Synthese, 61, 3-16 (1984).

Searle, J. R, "Minds, Brains, and Science: The 1984 Reith Lectures. Cambridge, MA: Harverd University Press(1984b).

Searle, J. R, The Rediscovery of Mind, MIT Press (1992).

Searle, J. R., Intentionality: An Essay in the Philosophy of Mind. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1983).

Searle, J.R., "Minds Brains, and Programs" Behavioral and Brain Sciences 3:417 – 424 ( 1979).

Sherson, D.N., Kosslyn, S.M. & Hollerbach, J.M.  $(\epsilon\pi)$ , An Invitation to Cognitive Science,  $\tau$ .2 Visual Cognition and Action, MIT (1990).

Smart, J.C., "Sensations and Brain Processes", Philosophical Review 68: 141 –156 (1959).

Stich, S., From Folk psychology to Cognitive Science: The Case Against Belief, MIT Press (1983).

Suggested bibliography:

- Turing, A., "Computing Machinery and Intelligence" Mind 59: 433-460 (1950).
- Wittgenstein, L. Philosophical Investigations. Oxford: Basil Blackwell (1953).
- Αντωνοπούλου Μ., Χιωτάκης Σ. (επιμ.), Η Επικαιρότητα του έργου του Max Weber, Νήσος, Αθήνα.
- Μαραγκός Γ., «Γνωσιολογισμοί. Στο Μεταίχμιο της Φιλοσοφίας της Επιστήμης και της Γνωσιοεπιστήμης», Αθήνα, Οδυσσέας (1996).
- Ναγόπουλος Ν., Γνωσιακές επιστήμες (επιμ. Αφιερώματος περιοδικού «Διαβάζω», τεύχ. 408).
- Ναγόπουλος Ν. (2003), Τα θεμέλια της κοινωνιολογικής γνώσης και οι κοινωνίες της νεωτερικότητας. Η συμβολή του Max Weber στη σύγχρονη κοινωνιολογία της γνώσης, Κριτική, Αθήνα.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν. (2015), Γνώση, μέθοδος και κοινωνική πράξη. Από τη Γνωσιοθεωρία στη Νέα Κοινωνιολογία της γνώσης, Kallipos, Αθήνα.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν., «Το φυσικοεπιστημονικό εξηγητικό υπόδειγμα στη μεθοδολογία της κοινωνικής επιστήμης και η αναλυτική θεωρία της πράξης», Νεύσις, 2005.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν. «Η ενότητα της γνώσης και τα όρια της θεωρίας της συνεξέλιξης. Μερικές παρατηρήσεις γύρω από ζητήματα κοινωνιολογίας της γνώσης», Βήμα κοινωνικών επιστημών, 2005.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν. «Οι γνωσιακές επιστήμες και η έννοια της πράξης», Διαβάζω, 408.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν., «Γλωσσική ανάλυση και διυποκειμενικότητα στον κριτικό ορθολογισμό», Πολιτικές και Κοινωνικές Επιστήμες, (υ.ε) τεύχ. 5, Πάτρα, 2017.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν., «Νοηματοδοτήσεις και γνωστικές δομές στον ιστορικό λόγο. Ανάμεσα στην κοινωνική ιστορία και τον κοινωνιολογικό αισθητισμό», στον (υ.ε) συλλογικό τόμο αφιέρωμα στον Παναγιώτη Νούτσο με τίτλο: Το πεδίο της κοινωνικής και πολιτικής φιλοσοφίας, Δωδώνη (παρουσίαση της εισήγησης στο Επιστημονικό Συμπόσιο του Πανεπιστημίου Ιωαννίνων), 2017.
- Ναγόπουλος Ν., «Κοινωνική Φαινομενολογία και ποιοτική έρευνα. Γνωσιακές δομές και υποκειμενικότητα» στο Μ. Πουρκός (επιμ.) : Πλαισιοθετημένο Ενσώματο Βίωμα, Γνώση και Ποιοτικές Μεθοδολογίες Έρευνας: Επιστημολογικά-Μεθοδολογικά Ζητήματα και Νέες Προοπτικές, ΔΙΣΙΓΜΑ, Αθήνα.